

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/671,309 09/25/2003 Keiichiro Hayakawa EL0506 US NA 8015 23906 09/23/2005 EXAMINER 7590 E I DU PONT DE NEMOURS AND COMPANY KOPEC, MARK T LEGAL PATENT RECORDS CENTER ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER **BARLEY MILL PLAZA 25/1128** 4417 LANCASTER PIKE 1751 WILMINGTON, DE 19805

DATE MAILED: 09/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Office Action Summary | 10/671,309 | HAYAKAWA ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Mark Kopec | 1751 |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | |
| Status | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | | |
| 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the application. | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | |
| 5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2</u> is/are allowed. | | |
| 6)⊠ ·Claim(s) <u>1 and 3</u> is/are rejected. | | |
| 7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4-7</u> is/are objected to. | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | |
| Application Papers | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | |
| • | | |
| · | | |
| Attachment(s) | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summ | ary (PTO-413) |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mai | il Date |
| 3) M Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other: | al Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| U.S. Patent and Trademark Office | ction Summary | Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20050916 |

Application/Control Number: 10/671,309 Page 2

Art Unit: 1751

Claims 4-7 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim cannot depend from another multiple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims 4-7 not been further treated on the merits.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere*Co., 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various

Art Unit: 1751

claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary.

Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over EP 0 132 810, either alone or in view of Tanaka et al (6,841,495).

EP '810 discloses barium borosilicate glass compositions free of Bi, Cd and Pb (Abstract). The glass compositions meet the instant softening point and thermal expansion limitations (Example XVI). The reference teaches addition of conductive particles and thick film paste formation (pages 10-12).

The EP '810 differs from instant claims 1 and 3 in failing to specify copper, nickel or Cu/Ni alloy powder as the conductive component.

Such materials are well know in the art as conductive additives to thick film paste compositions. In fact, Tanaka et al teaches the desirability of Cu or Ni as opposed to noble

Art Unit: 1751

metals in paste compositions (Col 1, lines 36-45). Accordingly, such would have been an obvious choice of conductive powder.

In view of the foregoing, the above claims have failed to patentably distinguish over the applied art.

The remaining references listed on forms 892 and 1449 have been reviewed by the examiner and are considered to be cumulative to or less material than the prior art references relied upon in the rejection above.

Claim 2 is allowed. The prior art does not disclose or suggest the component ranges required in this claim.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Kopec whose telephone number is (571) 272-1319. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 9:30 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dr. Yogendra Gupta can be reached on (571) 272-1316. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/671,309 Page 5

Art Unit: 1751

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mark Kopec
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1751

MK September 16, 2005